A RESOLUTION

BY CITY UTILITIES COMMITTEE

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ISSUE A NOTICE TO PROCEED TO CH2M HILL/WRJ-JV FOR FC-7619-03C, ANNUAL CONTRACT FOR ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES TO PERFORM GROUNDWATER SAMPLING, TESTING, ANALYTICAL SERVICES, REPORT WRITING AND OTHER PROFESSIONAL CONSULTATION AND ENGINEERING SERVICES AT THE CITY'S CLOSED LANDFILLS AND AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED SEVEN HUNDRED SIXTY-THREE THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED THIRTY-FIVE DOLLARS (\$763,835.00); ALL CONTRACTED WORK SHALL BE CHARGED TO AND PAID FROM FUND ACCOUNT AND CENTER NUMBERS: 1C28 574001 M31H020491AA, AND 2P01 524001 M38201.

WHEREAS, the City of Atlanta did enter into FC-7619-03C, Annual Contract for Architectural and Engineering Services; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Works does require groundwater sampling, testing, analytical services, report writing and other professional consultation and engineering services at its closed landfills and at various other locations; and

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of the Department of Public Works and the Director of the Bureau of Purchasing and Real Estate have recommended that CH2M HILL/WRJ-JV, be authorized to perform groundwater sampling, testing, analytical services, report writing and other professional consultation and engineering services at its closed landfills and at various other locations in an amount not to exceed Seven Hundred Sixty-three Thousand Eight Hundred Thirty-five Dollars (\$763,835.00).

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ATLANTA, GEORGIA, that the Mayor be and is hereby authorized to approve a Notice to Proceed with CH2M HILL/WRJ-JV, for FC-7619-03C, Annual Contract for Architectural and Engineering Services in an amount not to exceed Seven Hundred Sixty-three Thousand Eight Hundred Thirty-five Dollars (\$763,835.00); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Director of Purchasing be and is hereby directed to prepare an appropriate Notice to Proceed.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this Notice to Proceed should not become binding on the City, and the City shall incur no liability upon same until such Notice to Proceed has been executed by the Director of the Bureau of Purchasing and Real Estate and delivered to the contracting party.

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, that all services for said Notice to Proceed shall be charged to and paid from fund account and center numbers as follows:

1C28 574001 M31H020491AA \$404,140.00 2P01 524001 M38201 \$359,695.00 TOTAL \$763,835.00



CITY OF ATLANTA

SHIRLEY FRANKLIN MAYOR

55 TRINITY AVE., SW, ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303-0324 SUITE 4700, CITY HALL - SOUTH (404) 330-6240 FAX (404) 658-7552 email: publicworks@atlantaga.gov

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

David E. Scott, P.E. Commissioner

MEMORANDUM FOR: PROCESSING OF TASK ORDER

DATE:

March 28, 2005

TO:

Adam L. Smith, Chief Procurement Officer

FROM:

David E. Scott, P.E, Commissioner Julie (July 105)
Department of Public Works

SUB:

Task Order #4 to: FC-7619-03C - Annual Contract for: Architectural and

Engineering Services

Contractor: CH2M Hill, Inc./Williams-Russell and Johnson, Inc., JV

A. DESCRIPTION OF TASK ORDER

The Department of Pubic Works' (DPWs') Solid Waste Services (SWS) request the processing (Legislation Preparation and NTP) of Task Order #4 of Contract No. FC-7619-03C for the following:

Scopes of Work #1

To provide general engineering services as needed in support of operation and maintenance (O&M) of the closed landfills and corrective actions to address landfill methane gas issues as required by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) for the following four closed landfill owned by the City of Atlanta:

Key Road Landfill

East Confederate Road Landfill

Cascade Road Landfill

Gun Club Road Landfill

Scopes of Work #2

1 . . . w

To provide continuing engineering services to fulfill the following objectives:

Respond to EPD Consent Order - East Confederate Road Landfill

Respond to Cascade Road Groundwater Contamination and Methane Gas Issues

Respond to Key Road Groundwater Contamination and Methane Gas Issues

Respond to Cascade Road Landfill Gas System Repair

Further explanations of the above Scopes are detailed in the attached proposals.

B. NEED FOR TASK ORDER

This Task Order is required to enable the City of Atlanta and the Department of Public Works to keep each landfill in compliance with applicable EPD regulatory criteria.

C. TASK ORDER FEE

Scope #1 total project fee amount is \$359,695.00

Scope #2 total project fee amount is \$404,140.00

Total \$763,835.00

Both fee amounts appear to be in line with Exhibit C of the Contract. Attached is the Cost Proposal.

D. PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

The Contractor has performed in a satisfactory manner on all three previous Task Orders.

If additional information is needed, please feel free to contact Sharon Gardner at Ext. 6578.

DES/sg

Attachments:

- 1. Request and Proposal for Scope of Work
- 2. Cost Proposal
- 3. Requisitions

cc: Donna Owens, Department of Public Works Althea Smith, Department of Public Works

James Swope, Department of Public Works – Contact Katrina Taylor, Department of Public Works - Contact

Cathy Martin, Department of Procurement Anthony Stanley, Department of Procurement Contracting, Department of Public Works / File

REQUISITION

REQUISITION NUMBER

M 3 8 2 —

	FAC 2 P 0 FUND (COMPA	1 5 2 4 ACCO	<u> </u>	4 7 5 1 3 8 2 0 1 CENTER		SHIP	-TO CODE	1 7 5
	(55	,		D	ATE N	EEDEI	March 28, 2	005
NE	ITEM NUMBER		DESCRIPTION		QTY	UOM	UNIT PRICE	ESTIMATE AMOUNT
01	9024000	FC-7619-03C, Annual Cor	ntract for Architectural a	nd Engineering	1			\$ 359,695.00
		Services to provide genera						
1		of operations and maintena						
1		actions to address landfill n						
1		for the following four close						
\dashv		Key Road Landfill, East Co						
	<u> </u>	landfill, and Gun Club Roa						
		Scope 1						
	TOTAL						\$ 359,69	5.00
SHO	GESTED VENDOR	CH2M Hill/WRJ-Joint Ver	nture	VENDOR N	UMSER	A56	95 02	
	Πe	partment of Public Works		BUREAU	Solid	Waste	Services	
	AK 1141	ies Swope			03-28-05	5	PNONE NUMBER	404 330-6293
CON The		s that funds are available at the budg	et adoption level for all items.	COMPLETED	ስգ ኃይ ብፋ	ς.		MPUTER EQUIPMENT
	THORIZED SIGNATURE		FINANCE USE ONLY	DATE	03-28-05 BM	IS USE ON		PROVE DISAPPROVE
F		YER ID	FAC APPROVAL				SIGNATUF)E
Ri	EQ ENTERED BY	SIGNATURE		SIGNATURE				
pp.	RE003-02	DATE		DATE		***	DATE	



CH2M HILL 115 Permeter Center (18ce NE Suite 700 Alterto, GA 30346-1278 Tel 779.004.9095 Fax 770.604.9183

March 28, 2005

Mr. James Swope Department of Public Works, Solid Waste Services 68 Mitchell Street S.W. Suite 4800 City Hall South Atlanta, GA 30335-0324

Subject

Proposal to Provide Engineering Services for Post-closure Care Support at Key Road, East Confederate Road, Gun Club Road, and Cascade Road Landfills City of Atlanta A/E Services Contract – FC No. FC-7619-04C

Dear Mr. Swope:

The CH2M HILL/WRJ, Incorporated Joint Venture (JV) appreciates the opportunity to be of continued service to the City of Atlanta (COA). This proposal presents our proposed scope of work to provide continuing engineering services, including the post-closure care support activities, at the following four closed landfills owned by the COA:

- Key Road Landfill
- East Confederate Road Landfill
- Cascade Road Landfill
- Gun Club Road Landfill

This proposal has been prepared based on our understanding of the site conditions at each landfull and previous discussions with you regarding the regulatory compliance status of each landfull.

Purpose and Scope

The JV will perform the additional tasks necessary to keep each landfill in compliance with the applicable Georgia Environmental Protection Division (EPD) regulatory criteria. The work will be performed to complete the following objectives:

- Maintain compliance at each landfill by collecting groundwater and surface water samples from each landfill semiannually in accordance with the EPD-approved monitoring program.
- Maintain storm water compliance by collecting samples and preparing updated storm water pollution prevention plans (SWP3s) for each landfill.

- Provide engineering services on an as needed basis to conduct contamination assessment and remediation as required by the EPD due to exceedances of the maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) at the landfills.
- Provide general engineering consulting services on an as needed basis in support of operation and maintenance (O&M) of the closed landfills and corrective actions to address landfill methane gas issues as required by the EPD.

The following three tasks will be performed to fulfill these objectives:

- Task 1: Groundwater/Surface Water Monitoring and Reporting
- Task 2: Storm Water Monitoring and Updating the SWP3's.
- Task 3: Provide Additional Engineering Services

Task 1 - Ground Water/Surface Water Monitoring and Reporting

The COA is required to conduct groundwater and surface water monitoring at each landfill semiannually in accordance with the EPD-approved Groundwater Menitoring Plan. The monitoring includes the collection and analyses of groundwater and surface water samples, and the preparation and submittal of monitoring reports to the EPD. The work scopes that will be performed as part of the groundwater sample collection and reporting tasks are discussed below.

Sample Collection and Analyses

The JV will collect groundwater samples from the existing monitoring wells at the landfills and surface water samples from the designated locations specified in the EPD-approved Groundwater Monitoring Plan for each landfill. The samples will be collected in accordance with the EPD-approved Groundwater Monitoring Plan and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region IV standard operating procedures (SOPs). Physical parameters such as pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), conductivity, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), and turbidity will be measured in the field at the time of sample collection.

The monitoring wells and piezometers at each landfill site will be gauged at the beginning of each sampling event. Three times the calculated volume of water in each well will be removed and measurements of pH, temperature, conductivity, turbidity, DO, and ORP will be recorded. The purge water collected during the sampling event will be containerized, transported, and disposed of in the condensate sump associated with the landfill gas management systems at Key Road, Gun Club Road, and Cascade Road landfills. The wastewater from the sampling events at the East Confederate Road Landfill will be transported and disposed of at the Key Road Landfill gas management system. Based on historical test results, the JV has assumed that the water generated during purging will be non-hazardous.

Sampling documentation and physical parameter measurements during sampling will be performed in accordance with EPA Region IV SOPs. All field documentation will be entered into a field database, which will be imported into the main database at the conclusion of the sampling event.

The groundwater samples will be shipped to a certified laboratory for chemical analyses. The JV understands that the testing will include the collection of Appendix I parameters twice a year and Appendix II parameters once a year. The Appendix II analyses will be conducted during the first event of 2005. Any Appendix II parameter that is detected is required to be analyzed in all wells for the subsequent sampling event. In 2004, the Appendix II semivolatile organic compound (SVOC) bis 2-ethylhxyl phthalate was detected in a groundwater sample at Key Road Landfill; therefore, groundwater samples from the Key Road Landfill will also be analyzed for this SVOC parameter. For costing purposes, the JV has estimated that one Appendix II parameter (using the worst case scenario: SVOC) will be detected and require analyses in all monitoring wells during the second event of 2005. In addition, geochemical parameters will be collected from the East Confederate Road and Gun Club Road sites in support of assessment of corrective measures activities. The required Appendix I and II analytical parameters and the proposed testing methods are listed in Table 1.

The JV has assumed that an appropriate number of quality control (QC) samples will be collected during the sampling events. These samples are estimated to include: 10 percent field duplicates, trip blanks, 5 percent field blanks, one set of matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate, and equipment blanks as necessary (one sample per sampling event). The cost proposal is based on the number of wells and the number of surface water and associated quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) samples to be collected at each of the four landfill sites listed in Table 2.

The analytical results will be reported for EPA Level II data QA/QC. The sample results will be provided in draft form within 21 calendar days of sample receipt by the laboratory, followed by final reporting within 28 calendar days of sample receipt by the laboratory. The laboratory will also perform the necessary QA/QC analyses, checks, and documentation for the specified level of data quality. The laboratory will forward the results electronically. These results will be incorporated into the data management system and validated.

Reporting

Semiannual monitoring and sampling reports will be issued for each landfill upon the receipt of groundwater and surface water test results (two per landfill or a total of eight reports). The reports will include summaries of the field activities performed, a summary of investigation findings, and conclusions and recommendations. Tables, figures, and appendices will also be included with each report. The JV will prepare draft and final versions of each report. The draft will be submitted to the COA for review and comment. Following incorporation of the EPD's comments, the final report will be submitted to EPD.

Task 2 - Storm Water Monitoring and Updating the SWP3s

The EPD's General National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Storm Water Permit specifies that the storm water monitoring must be conducted, at a minimum, on an annual basis. Storm water samples have not been collected at the four landfills since 1998. In addition, the General NPDES Storm Water Permit requires that an SWP3 be prepared for each landfill. In addition, the SWP3s must be reviewed and the plans must be updated annually. The work scopes that will be performed as part of the surface water sample collection and reporting tasks are discussed below.

Sample Collection and Analyses

The NPDES permit requires the collection of storm water samples from each outfall for the analysis of the following:

- Ammonia;
- Magnesium (total and dissolved);
- Nitrate plus nitrate nitrogen;
- Chemical oxygen demand (COD);
- Total dissolved solids (TDS);
- Total organic carbon (TOC), oil and grease;
- pll; and
- Total arsenic, barium, cadmium, chromium, cyanide, lead, mercury, selenium, and silver.

Based on the SWP3s, the following number of outfalls is located at each landfill:

- Two at Cascade Road Landfill,
- · Five at Gun Club Road Landfill, and
- Five at Key Road Landfill.

Because a SWP3 has not been created for East Confederate Road Landfill, it is assumed that five outfalls are located at the site.

Create SWP3 (East Confederate) and Update Existing SWP3s

SWP3s were created for Cascade Road, Gun Club Road, and Key Road Landfills in 1998 and have not been updated in 6 years. The JV will review the available information for the landfills and conduct site visits to prepare updates to the SWP3s.

An SWP3 has not been developed for East Confederate Road Landfill. The JV will review the available information for the landfill and conduct a site visit to prepare an SWP3 for the East Confederate Road Landfill, with a format similar to the SWP3s prepared for the other landfills. A draft version of the SWP3 will be submitted to the COA for review. Following the COA's approval, the final SWP3 will be completed and submitted.

Once the SWP3s are updated and complete, the JV will conduct a 1-day training class on implementation of the SWP3s. The class will be held for landfill personnel at one of the landfill sites. The training class will include classroom instruction and a facility walk-through to provide examples of the requirements outlined in the SWP3s. The purpose of the training is to teach personnel how to comply with the SWP3s.

Task 3 - Provide Additional Engineering Services

Based on discussions with the EPD, groundwater contamination and methane gas exceedance issues at the landfills may result in Consent Orders. The JV will provide engineering expertise and consultation for assessment and remediation of groundwater contamination and landfill gas corrective actions. In addition, the JV will also provide engineering support services to the COA for planning, managing, O&M, and overseeing work performed by others for the closed landfills. The JV will perform these activities on an as-needed basis upon approval by the City.

Engineering services associated with groundwater contamination may include the following:

- Develop responses to EPD comments and orders (Consent Orders, Notice of Violations [NOV], Notice of Deficiencies [NOD]) associated with groundwater contamination issues.
- Develop and implement investigations to support Assessment of Corrective Measures (ACMs) for groundwater contamination.
- Implement corrective actions as required by the EPD.

Engineering services associated with methane gas issues may include the following:

- Monitor and or oversee monitoring by others of the landfill gas monitoring system.
- Review data and develop and implement monitoring system modifications.
- Review data and develop and implement modifications to the landfill gas collection and flare systems to meet performance objectives.
- Develop landfill gas remediation plans and implement corrective actions.

Costs to implement landfill gas remediation plans are not provided in this proposal, because the strategies for individual landfills have not been developed.

Assumptions

CH2M HILL/WRJ has made the following assumptions to complete this proposal:

- Purge water collected during groundwater sampling events will be containerized, transported, and disposed of in the condensate sump associated with the landfill gas management systems at Key Road, Gun Club Road, and Cascade Road landfills. Purge water from the East Confederate Road Landfill will be transported and disposed of at the Key Road Landfill gas management system.
- The COA will provide CH2M HILL access to each of the landfill sites to perform both the groundwater and storm water sampling tasks.
- The collection of storm water samples will be performed on weekdays during normal business hours to limit potential access problems that would arise if the samples were collected during the weekend or evenings.
- Because a SWP3 has not been created for East Confederate Road Landfill, it is assumed
 that five outfalls are located at the site. CH2M HILL/WRJ will rely on previous survey
 data to locate these outfalls. The cost to survey these outfalls is not included in this
 proposal.

Schedule

The groundwater and surface water monitoring task (Task 1) will be initiated upon the COA's approval and will be conducted in accordance with the existing semiannual sampling schedule (May and November). The storm water task (Task 2) will be initiated upon the COA's approval. The JV will attempt to collect storm water samples by the end of the 2005. Because the storm water sampling event must coincide with a storm event, a specific date cannot be stipulated. Implementation of Task 3 (Provide Additional Engineering Services) will be initiated upon the COA's approval. A schedule for the engineering services described in Task 3 will be provided to the COA on an as needed basis, and will be developed in consultation with the COA as each need arises.

Subcontracting & Outside Services

In the performance of the services outlined herein, the JV will utilize the following team of subcontractors who provide specialty services

SUBCONTRACTORS SERVICE	CONTRACT AMOUNT
Gulf Coast Analytical Analytical L	aberatory \$86.790
- Laboratories, inc	
Professional Technical Groundwab	er Sampling \$10,800
Support Services, Inc	

These subcontractors have been supporting the COA Landfill program since 2000. To insure program continuity the JV proposes to keep the primary subcontractors intact. These

subcontractors are in addition to the JV pool of team subcontractors as approved by the prime contract. Acceptance of this proposal shall be deemed as the JV's approval to contract with the specialty subcontractors as required.

Cost

This work will be performed under the terms and conditions of Architectural and Engineering Services Agreement between the COA and the JV. The work will be performed under per diem rates and expense markups established in the contract. A detailed breakdown of labor and expense costs is provided on Tables 3 (Groundwater/Surface Water Monitoring), Table 4 (Storm Water/SWP3), and Table 5 (Engineering Services). A simplified breakdown of costs by task is presented on the table below.

<u>Task Description</u>	Estimated Cost (\$)
TASK 1: Groundwater/Surface Water Monitoring and Reporting	
Cascade Road	\$45,220
East Confederate Road	\$49,963
Gun Club Road	\$51,457
Key Road	\$52,819
Subtotal Task 1	\$199,459
TASK 2: Storm Water Monitoring and Updating the SWP3s	
Cascade Road	\$9,620
Fast Confederate Road	\$20,967
Gun Club Road	\$13,122
Key Road	\$13,493
Task 2 Subtotal	\$57,202
TASK 3: Provide Additional Engineering Services	\$103,034
TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (Tasks 1 through 3)	\$359,695

We look forward to working with you on this project. If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at (770) 604-9182, extension 348, or David Beverly at extension 598.

Sincerely,

CH2M HILL/WRJ - A JOINT VENTURE

ein Alikeron-

Gerri Dickerson, P.E.

Vice President

Fred Artis, WRJ, Inc.

Deputy Program Manager

Attachment

c: Donna Owens, Deputy Commissioner, City of Atlanta James Swope, Department Manager, City of Atlanta David Beverly, CH2M HILL/WRJJV

Table L. Proposed List of Laboratory Analytical Testing Methods

Samples	Analyses	Analytical Method
Groundwat	er Sample s :	
	Appendix I Testing:	
	Metals (Total)	SW-646 3010/3020-SW6010 /7000 Series
	Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)	SW-846 8260B
	EDB and DBCP	EPA 504.1
	Appendix II Testing:	
	Metals (Total)	SW-846 3010/3020-SW6010 /7000 Series
	VOCs	SW-846 8260B
	EDB and DBCP	EPA 504.1
	Semivolatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs)	SW-846 8270
	Organochlorine Pesticides/PCBs	SW-846 8081A/8082
	Chlorinated Herbicides	SW-846-8151
	Cyanide	SW-846 9010B
	Sulfide	SW-846 9030A
	GeoChemical Testing:	
	Alkalinity	EPA 310.1
	Nitrate/Nitrite	EPA 353.2
	Sulfate	EPA 375.4
	TOC	SW-846 9060
	Ferrous Iron	AS 3500D
	Sulfide	EPA 376.1
	MEE	RSK 175
Surface W	ater Samples:	
	10 Metals (Total)	SW:846 3010/3020-SW6010 /7000 Series
	Cyanido	Sw-846 9010/9014
	Chloride	SW-846 9253
	Total Organic Carbon	EPA 415.2
	Chemical Oxygen Demand	EPA 410.4

Table 2. Basis for Number of Groundwater and Surface Water Samples for 2005

Sample Type	Key Rd Landfill	Cascade Rd Landfill	E. Confederate Rd Landfill	Gun Club Road Landfill
Groundwater.		,		
Number of Wells at Site	16	13	19 [A]	16
Standard samples (Appendix I)	32	26	38	32
Field duplicates	4	4	4	4
MS/MSD	4	4	4	4
Trip Blanks	2	2	2	2
Field Blanks	2	2	2	2
Equipment Blanks	2	2	2	2
Appendix l Total:	46	40	52	46
Standard (Appendix II)	12	4	6	6
Additional Appendix II analyses (SVOCs)	24	13	19	16
Geochemical Parameters	NA	NA	12 [A]	16 [A]
Surface Water				
Slandard samples	10		8	8
Field duplicates	2	2	2	2
Total:	12	10)	10	10

A – Includes additional proposed wells or sampling associated with activities in this proposal

	ā	SUMMARY y of Atlanta A/E ! Groundwater ar	SUMMARY OF COST ESTIMATE City of Atlanta A/E Services Contract - FC-7619-04C Groundwater and Surface Water Monitroing	IATE - ! - FC-7619-04C · Monitroing			
Labor Caractory	Labor Rate	Task 1 - C Cascade Road	Task 1 - Groundwater and Surface Water Monitoring scade E. Confederate Gun Club Key Key and Road Road Road	Surface Water N Gun Club Road	lonitoring Key Road	Total Hcurs	Total
Englineer 8	\$174.74 \$150.14	Q4	⊗	ø	Ŋ	œ	\$1,358
Engineer 6 Engineer 5 Engineer 4 Engineer 4	\$123.11 \$100.13 \$83.46 \$71.79	2540	వి ఓ టీ లు	\$ 15 d or	చేశా చేశ	797 300 174	\$24,253 \$30,029 \$14,522 \$718
OFFICE/Designer 1 Designer 4	\$55.96 \$92.22	28	X N	2.8	88	94	85,260 085,260
TOTALLABOR		222 \$21,490	217 \$21 012	215 \$21,172	215 \$20,817	873	\$84.450
2. INTERNAL EXPENSES	2	Sju∏ o	# O C	# of Units	# of Units	Total Quantity	Total Cosi
Copies (each) Car Travel (per day) Truck Travel (per day)	\$42.00 \$68.00	300. *	8 -	8 •	1 (200	44,000 18 0	\$2,840 \$672 \$0
TOTAL INTERNAL EXPENSES 3. EXTERNAL EXPENSES Catagory	Rate	\$828	\$628	\$85B	\$8823		\$3.312 Total Cost
Meats/Lodging Shipping/Postage Subcontracting: Laboratory Subcontracting: Drilling/DPT/Surv Equipment/Supplies Missellaneous	3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	\$600 \$22,178 \$25	\$27.443 \$27.443 \$125	\$550 \$28,782 \$125	\$30.499 \$30.499 \$125		\$108,907 \$108,907 \$0 \$0 \$00
SUBTOTAL EXTERNAL EXPENSES	SES	\$22,903	\$28,123	629,457	\$31,174		\$111.657
		\$45,220	\$49,963	\$51.457	\$52,819		

### Standard Process Continued For Cost Estimate A Escurioses Continued For Services Continued A Escurioses Contin				+ 11101				
Lattor		Š	SUMMARY of Atlanta A/E Storm Wate	OF COST ESTIN Services Contrac r Monitoring and	IATE - 1 - FC-7619-046 SWP3s			
Book Labor Cascade E. Confidence Gun Club Acord	ABOH) Ba	o . Stoom Water &	onitoring and St	WP3s	Total	Totai
Parte Road		Labor	Cascade	E. Confederate	Gun Člub	Xe.		
State	Categon/	Rata	Road	Road	Hoad	Road	Hours	Cost
Si 50.14		7. C. F. F. C. F. F. C. F. F. F. C. F. F. C. F. F. F. C. F.	*				N.	689\$
The column of		t v						
Parison	/ Legules !	T C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Ť	X		Ŋ	(A)	#3. (1)
Theorem	Engineer o	- c c c c	- h	P	K.	10	247	\$24,732
neer 4 \$1.79 1 2 1 neer 3 \$71.79 1 2 1 Designer 1 \$55.66 6 19 6 Grant 4 \$92.22 3 9 1 Specific 3 \$50.04 \$16.59 \$10.624 \$10.624 XPENSES Patie # of Units # of Units # of Units XPENSES Patie # of Units # of Units # of Units AMAL EXPENSES \$42.00 # of Units # of Units # of Units Cost \$0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 AMAL EXPENSES Batie # of Units # of Units # of Units FEPOSTAL \$63.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 10.00 \$ 10.00 \$ 10.00 AMAL EXPENSES Batie \$ 0.00 \$ 10.00 \$ 10.00 \$ 10.00 Profiles Cost \$ 0.00 \$ 30.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00	Engineer5	2.55	રું ^દ	2 0)	8	Ş	\$11,851
Pacific Cost Paci	Engineer 4	680,40 944,40	3 +	ş e.) •		រេ	\$359
Designer 1 \$55.56 6 19 6 great 4 \$92.22 3 9 1 Appenses Fate # of Units # of Units # of Units XPENSES Pate # of Units # of Units XPENSES Pate # of Units # of Units Report # of Units # of Units Report # of Units # of Units Report # of Units # of Units day) \$42.00 # of Units Applies \$500 # of Units EXPENSES # of Units # of Units Factor # of Units # of Units Approved # of Units # of Units Approved # of Units # of Units Approved # of Units # of Units EXPENSES # of Units # of Units Factor \$ occost \$ occost \$ occost # occost # occost # occost # occost # occost # occost		D 0						
Secretar Secretar Secretar Secretar		CAN CAN	•	9	Ø		80 87	85 . Z0
HDurs Ba2 \$10,024 \$10,024 \$10,024 XPENSES Rate # of Units # of Units # of Units # of Units	Designer 4	\$67.55 \$67.55	· 😙	3	÷-	a.	ko ,	\$1,383
Thours Se,004 \$16.599 \$10.624 \$10.62				agr	er.	¥.	403	
# of Units	OTAL LABUH	9 \$	58.004	\$16,599	\$10,624	\$10,995		\$46 322
\$0.06 \$5000 \$100 \$\$5.00 \$ 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	INTERNAL EXPENSES Catagony	9	# of Units	# of Units	# of Units	# of Units	Total	Total
\$65.00 \$65.00 \$4 4 4 \$65.00 \$65.00 Fate Rate Cost \$500 Cost \$500 Cost \$500 Cost \$648 \$1,620 \$1,620 Cost \$1,620	Corios (pach)	\$0.08	2000	6,000	3,500	3,500	18,000	23 7
\$65.00 Rate Rate Cost \$200 Cost \$200 Cost \$1,620 Scool \$1,620 Scool \$1,149 Scool \$200807	ar Travel (per day)	\$42.00	***		*			N (
Rate	ruck Travel (per day)	\$65,00						à
Rate \$200 \$200 Cost \$300 \$1,620 \$1,620 T/Surv. Cost \$1,620 \$1,620 \$1,620 T/Surv. Cost \$200 \$300 \$300 Cost S1,148 \$3,740 \$2,120 SciB20 \$20,867 \$13,122	OTALINTERNAL EXPENSES		\$468	\$528	5376	\$378		\$1 752
Cost \$200 \$200 Cost \$500 \$1,620 T/Surv. Cost 200 \$1,620 Cost 200 \$300 Cost 200 \$300 Sci.148 \$3740 \$2,120	EXTERNAL EXPENSES	1						Total
Cost \$200 \$200 \$200 Cost \$648 \$1,620 \$1,620 T/Surv. Cost 200 \$300 \$300 Cost 200 \$300 \$2,120 FENSEE 51,148 \$3,740 \$2,120	A STEAM							5
Cost \$200 \$200 Cost \$648 \$1.620 \$1.620 T/Surv. Cost 200 \$300 Cost 200 \$300 Cost 200 \$300 Science 200 \$27.20 Science 200 \$27.20	Veals∕Lo∂ging	Tr. OS			1	() () () () () () () () () ()		
TSurv. Cost \$648 \$1,620 \$1,620 TSurv. Cost 200 \$300 Cost \$300 \$3740 \$2,120	Shipping/Postage	Cost	00 %30 %30	\$200	2820			0000 1
7/Surv. Cost 200 \$1,620 \$300 Cost \$300 \$300 RENSES \$1,148 \$37,740 \$2,120	Subcontracting: Laboratory		\$643	020	# Ja20	0 (0 Z C		2
Cost \$200 \$200 Cost Cost Cost \$37.40 \$2.720 Cost \$3.740 \$2.720	Subcontracting: Drilling/DPT/Surv			0%0 Le	6000	600		
Cost (PENSEB \$1.148 \$3,740 \$2,120 S9.520 \$20.967 \$13,122	Equipment/Supplies	ته ث	8		200	3)
(PENSES \$3,740 \$2,720 S2,120 S20,867 \$13,122	Miscellaneous	ő						
\$9.122	WETOTAL EXTERNAL EXPENS	ES	51 143	\$3,740	\$2,120	52 120		\$9,128
\$6,520 \$20,967 \$13,122								
	INDVIDAUL SITE TOTALS		89,620	\$20,967	\$13,122	\$13,493		\$57.202
	Adn telm		W. O. S. Contract of the Contr		The state of the s	2000		
1000000000000000000000000000000000000								

City of	Allenta A/E Servi	City of Atlanta AE Services Contract - FC-7619-04C	6	
LABOH	Engineer	Engineering Services		
	000	Task 3 - Engineering Servici	Total	Total
	Labox	Cascade		
Cafegony	Rate	Road	HOURS	TSO2
	Z. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7.		S,	510,135
	T T CUTS			
, Loginoer ,	# TOO 6		ω 	\$9,603
Engineer 6	- 1) (c	TOO.	828.537
Engineer 5	\$100.13	o (y v	0.000 E
Engineer 4	\$83.46) :) (d :)
Engineer 3	\$71.79		0	r Ž
	\$ C		3:	\$2,742
	000.00 00 00 00		တ္ထ	\$4,611
	V			
TOTAL LABOR	Hours	718	829	
CONT. PAGE	\$	\$74,727		574,727
			7 () -	X E I
2. INTERNAL EXPENSES				i in
Category	Hale	2	100-61	8750
Copies (each)	20.00 20.00	3) (L)	<u>다</u>
Car Travel (per day)	50 C		, or	9690
inck rave (per may)	3			
				0.0
TOTAL INTERNAL EXPENSES		075.75		9
				T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
				Cost
Meals/Lodging	Cost			다 t
Shipping/Postage	%	000		9000 8018
Subcontracting: Laboratory		2) C 4
Subcontracting: Drilling/DPT/Surv	Sec. 1	\$41.600		00000 00000
Equipment/Supplies	1 800	3		2004
Miscellaneous	TS.			<u>5</u>
SNEWS INDEXE INTOXE	u H	\$25,863		\$26,668
INDVIDAUL SITE TOTALS		\$103,035		UCC CUSE
TASK TOTAL				52.5



CH281 HILL 115 Perimeter Cerder Place NE Suito 760: Atlanta, GA.30346-1278 Tol.773.604,9095 Fax 770 G04,9183:

February 25, 2005

Mr. James Swope
Department of Public Works, Solid Waste Services
68 Mitchell Street S.W.
Suite 4800 City Hall South
Atlanta, GA. 30335-0324

Subject:

Proposal to Provide Engineering Services for the Key Road, East Confederate Road, and Cascade Road Landfills

City of Atlanta A/E Services Contract - FC No. FC-7619-04C

Dear Mr. Swope:

The CH2M HILL/WRJ, Incorporated Joint Venture (JV) appreciates the opportunity to be of continued service to the City of Atlanta (City). This proposal presents the proposed scope of work to provide continuing engineering services at the following three closed landfills owned by the City:

- Key Road Landfill
- East Confederate Road Landfill
- Cascade Road Landfill

This proposal has been developed based on our understanding of the site conditions at each landfill, previous discussions with you, review of the draft Consent Order received for the East Confederate Road Landfill, and the results of the February 7, 2005 meeting with the Georgia Environmental Protection Division (EPD).

The following four tasks will be performed to fulfill these objectives:

- Task 1—Respond to EPD Consent Order East Confederate Road Landfill
- Task 2—Cascade Road Groundwater Contamination and Methane Gas Issues
- Task 3—Key Road Groundwater Contamination and Methane Gas Issues
- Task 4—Cascade Road Landfill Gas System Repair

Purpose and Scope

The JV will perform the tasks necessary to keep each landfill in compliance with the applicable EPD regulatory criteria. In the case of the East Confederate Road Landfill, the JV will develop and implement a strategy to address groundwater contamination and methane gas issues in response to the EPD's September 2004 draft Consent Order. During the Echnary 7, 2005 meeting, the EPD also requested that the City address groundwater

contamination and methane gas issues associated with the Cascade Road and Key Road Landfills. The work will be performed to complete the following objectives:

- Develop and implement a strategy to address groundwater contamination and methane gas issues in response to the EPD's draft Consent Order issued for the East Confederate Road Landfill.
- Develop and implement a strategy to address groundwater contamination and methane gas issues for the Cascade Road Landfill in response to February 7, 2005 meeting.
- Develop and implement a strategy to address groundwater contamination and methane gas issues for the Key Road Landfill in response to February 7, 2005 meeting.
- Provide engineering and oversight for field services associated with the repair of the landfill gas collection system header pipe located at the Cascade Road.

The following four tasks will be performed to fulfill these objectives:

- Task 1—Respond to EPD Consent Order East Confederate Road Landfill
- Task 2—Cascade Road Groundwater Contamination and Methane Gas Issues
- Task 3—Key Road Groundwater Contamination and Methane Gas Issues
- Task 4—Cascade Road Landfill Gas System Repair

The scope of work for each task is presented below.

Task 1 – Respond to EPD Consent Order – East Confederate Road Landfill

In September 2004, the EPD issued a draft Post-closure Inspection/Consent Order for East Confederate Road Landfill to the City. The JV understands that the City intends to correct the groundwater contamination and methane gas violations presented in the draft Consent Order. The groundwater contamination issues will be addressed by initiating an Assessment of Corrective Measures (ACMs). The methane gas issue requires further evaluation before a corrective measure can be proposed.

Task 1a - Assessment of Corrective Measures

Chlorinated solvents were detected at concentrations that exceeded the maximum contaminant concentration (MCL) at monitoring well GWC-5. In accordance with EPD guidelines, the vertical and horizontal extent must be delineated, and an ACM for groundwater must be prepared. The JV will develop and implement a strategy for initiating and completing the ACMs for groundwater at the East Confederate Road Landfill. These activities will include the installation of two additional monitoring wells (a bedrock monitoring well near GWC-5 and a shallow monitoring well across the concrete channel from GWC-5) to determine the vertical and horizontal extent of chlorinated solvents. Prior to installation of the shallow well, up to 4 groundwater samples will be collected using direct-push technology (DPT) to determine if groundwater contamination exists on the opposite side of the concrete channel. If groundwater contamination is not detected on the

opposite side of the channel, the JV will install a shallow monitoring well across the channel and downgradient from GWC-5. If groundwater contamination is detected, the JV will contact the City to discuss alternate plans to delineate the downgradient extent of contamination.

Groundwater samples from the DPT borings and two monitoring wells (bedrock and shallow) will be analyzed for volatile organic compounds (VOCs). In addition, the newly installed wells and up to six previously installed wells will be analyzed for natural attenuation parameters (total organic carbon, nitrates, sulfates, and ferrous iron) to determine if reductive dechlorination is occurring in groundwater. The test data collected during this effort and available site information will be used to prepare an ACM Report for submission to the EPD. The ACM Report will be prepared in accordance with the EPD's ACM guidance documentation. The JV will prepare draft and final versions of the ACM Report. The draft report will be submitted for the City's review and comment. Following the incorporation of the City's review comments, a final report will be submitted to the EPD.

Task 1b - Methane Gas Issues

The JV understands that methane gas values have exceeded the lower explosive limit (LEL) at methane monitoring points MM-4 and MM-6. These monitoring points are a considerable distance inside the property boundary. Based on discussions with the EPD, if methane gas does not exceed the LEL at the property boundary, the site is in compliance.

The JV will collect and review available data to evaluate the trends of the methane gas LEL exceedences. Once the review has been conducted, the JV will collect methane gas readings from each existing methane monitoring point at the site in accordance with the *Post Closure Care, Operations and Maintenance Manual, Confederate Ave, Construction and Demolition Debris Landfill* (WESTON, October 1999). If the values continue to exceed the LEL, the JV will install two additional methane-monitoring points as close as practical to the property boundary near MM-4 and MM-6. Methane gas measurements will be recorded in the newly installed points. If methane levels are below the LEL, no further action is required. If methane levels are above the LEL, preparation of a methane remediation plan will be required. Costs to develop a methane remediation plan are not included in this proposal.

Assumptions:

- City will coordinate with the National Guard to provide the JV with access to the landfill site to perform ACM activities.
- Drilling locations are accessible with a truck-mounted drill rig.
- Bedrock monitoring well will not exceed a depth of 75 feet.
- City will obtain access to install the DPT borings and shallow monitoring well on private property located on the opposite side of the concrete-lined channel.
- Shallow monitoring well will not exceed a depth of 30 feet.

- EPD will approve the location of the proposed methane monitoring point associated
 with MM-4. The proposed point will be located on a flat portion of land on the edge of
 the concrete-lined channel across from MM-4. This location will be accessible to a truckmounted auger drill rig.
- City will be responsible for disposal of solid and liquid waste associated with installation and sampling of the borings and monitoring wells.
- JV will perform the utility 1-call.

Task 2 - Cascade Road Groundwater Contamination and Methane Gas Issues

During the February 2005 meeting, the EPD requested that the City address groundwater contamination and methane gas issues associated with the Cascade Road Landfill as part of the City's proposed response to the Consent Order for the Fast Confederate Road Landfill. The EPD also stated that if groundwater contamination and methane gas issues at the Cascade Road Landfill were not addressed, a Consent Order and associated fines would be issued.

The JV proposes to address the groundwater contamination issues by initiating an ACM. The EPD indicated that the JV could implement the modifications to the Cascade Road Landfill gas collection system that are described in Roy F. Weston, Inc.'s report Submittal of Methane Gas Remediation Plan Phase II (September 2000). The EPD approved the Weston plan on March 31, 2003; however, because the plan is almost 5 years old, the JV will review it to determine if implementation of the proposed modifications are relevant.

Task 2a - Assessment of Corrective Measures

Chlorinated solvents were detected at concentrations that exceeded the MCL at monitoring well GWC-10. The JV will develop and implement a strategy for initiating and completing the ACM for groundwater at the Cascade Road Landfill. These activities will include the installation of two additional monitoring wells (a bedrock monitoring well near GWC-10 and a shallow monitoring well northwest of GWC-10) to determine the vertical and horizontal extent of chlorinated solvents.

The JV will collect groundwater samples from the two newly installed wells for the analysis of VOCs. In addition, the newly installed wells and up to six previously installed wells will be analyzed for natural attenuation parameters (total organic carbon, nitrates, sulfates, and ferrous iron) to determine if reductive dechlorination is occurring in groundwater. The test data collected during this effort and available site information will be assessed to prepare an ACM Report for submission to the EPD. The ACM Report will be prepared in accordance with the EPD's ACM guidance documentation. The JV will prepare draft and final versions of the ACM Report. The draft report will be submitted for the City's review and comment. Following the incorporation of the City's review comments, a final report will be submitted to the EPD.

Assumptions:

- Drilling locations are accessible with a truck-mounted drill rig.
- The bedrock monitoring well will not exceed a depth of 75 feet.
- Shallow monitoring well will not exceed a depth of 30 feet.
- City will be responsible for disposing of solid and liquid waste associated with installing and sampling the borings and monitoring wells.
- JV will perform the utility 1-call.

Task 2b - Methane Gas Issues

The modifications described in the Weston plan (September 2000) were proposed to mitigate methane gas concentrations exceeding the LEL at methane monitoring points MM-15, -1D, -2S, -2D, -5, -6, -8, -10, and -11. Since the Weston plan was created, additional methane gas values have been collected and improvements to the system have been made. The JV will review current landfill gas conditions and the improvements to the system to determine if the tasks proposed in the Weston plan are applicable or need to be revised. If necessary, the JV will revise the Weston plan to include text and figures describing any changes to the existing gas collection system and methane monitoring network. If building monitoring systems are required, these will be described conceptually as typical equipment types and will not be presented in drawings. Monitoring and operational procedure changes will also be described. Required pipe sizes and equipment capacities will be computed and compared to the existing pipe sizes and equipment capacities.

Assumptions:

- No more than six additional drawings or figures will be required.
- IV will review the following information, which will be provided by the EPD:
 - Permit and local regulatory requirements defining the content of the plan
 - As-builts of the existing landfill gas collection system and landfill
 Functional capabilities or specifications for the existing gas control systems (such as maximum flow rate capacity and horsepower)
 - Operational data from the past year
- Costs to implement the landfill gas remediation plans will not be provided in this
 proposal, because the strategies for individual landfills have not been developed.

Task 3 – Key Road Groundwater Contamination and Methane Gas Issues

During the February 2005 meeting, the EPD requested that the City address groundwater contamination and methane gas issues associated with the Key Road Landfill as part of the City's proposed response to the East Confederate Road Landfill Consent Order. The EPD

also stated that if groundwater contamination and methane gas issues at Key Road Landfill are not addressed, a proposed Consent Order and associated fine will be issued. The JV proposes to address the groundwater contamination issues as follows:

- Initiate ACM activities to evaluate corrective measures to address historical VOC contamination in the vicinity of well GWC-2.
- Conduct investigation activities to evaluate the source and extent of mercury contamination in the vicinity of well GWB-4.
- Conduct investigation activities to assess if groundwater contamination identified on the APAC facility located northwest of the Key Road Landfill is associated with the Landfill.

The EPD indicated that the JV could implement the modifications to the Key Road Landfill gas collection system that are described in Roy F. Weston, Inc.'s report Revised Methane Gas Remediation Plan (September 29, 2002). The EPD approved the Weston plan on March 31, 2003; however, because the plan is almost 5 years old, the JV will review it to determine if implementation of the proposed modifications are relevant.

Task 3a - Groundwater Contamination Issues

Contaminants have consistently been detected at monitoring wells GWB-4 and GWC-2 at concentrations above established groundwater protection standards (GWPSs) for the site. The following parameters have been detected at concentrations above the GWPSs in groundwater at GWC-2 during the past four sampling events: benzene (May 2003, November 2003), chloroethane (May 2003, November 2003), methylene chloride (May 2003, November 2003), May 2004), and tetrachloroethylene (PCE) (May 2003 and November 2003). No parameters were detected above the GWPS in GWC-2 during the November 2004 sampling event. During the past four sampling events, mercury has been detected at concentrations that exceeded the GWPS at GWC-4B. In addition, mercury was also detected above the GWPS in groundwater from well GWA-2 during the November 2004 sampling event.

ACM GWC-2

Based on communication with the EPD, an ACM will be required for the historical VOC contamination detected at GWC-2. The JV will develop and implement a strategy for initiating and completing the ACM for groundwater in the vicinity of GWC-2. These activities will include the installation of two additional monitoring wells (a bedrock monitoring well near GWC-2 and a shallow monitoring well north of GWC-10) to determine the vertical and horizontal extent of historical contamination.

The JV will collect groundwater samples from the two newly installed wells for analysis of VOCs. In addition, the newly installed wells and up to six previously installed wells will be analyzed for natural attenuation parameters (total organic carbon, nitrates, sulfates, and ferrous iron) to determine if reductive dechlorination is occurring in groundwater. The test

data collected during this effort and available site information will be assessed to prepare an ACM Report for submission to the EPD. The ACM Report will be prepared in accordance with the EPD's ACM guidance documentation. The JV will prepare draft and final versions of the ACM Report. The draft report will be submitted for the City's review and comment. Following the incorporation of the City's review comments, a final report will be submitted to the EPD.

Groundwater Investigation (GWB-4)

Because wells GWB-4 and GWA-2 are located cross-gradient and upgradient of the landfill, respectively, the detections of mercury in these wells appear to be associated with an offsite source. The JV will attempt to identify the source of the mercury at GWB-4 by performing the following tasks:

- Perform a records search of historical industrial activities using Environmental Data Resources, Inc. (EDR);
- Collect and analyze up to 20 soil and sediment samples from upgradient locations;
- Install one temporary monitoring well hydraulically upgradient of GWC-4B and analyze groundwater for mercury; and
- 4. Conduct a speciation analysis of the groundwater sample collected from GWB-4B to identify the type of mercury present in groundwater.

A brief technical memorandum will present the findings of the investigation.

If an offsite source of mercury is identified, the JV will recommend that no further action be associated with GWB-4. If an offsite source is not identified, the EPD will require ACM activities, including the installation of two additional monitoring wells (a bedrock monitoring well near GWB-4 and a shallow monitoring well southeast of GWB-4) to determine the vertical and horizontal extent of mercury contamination in groundwater.

Groundwater Investigation (APAC facility)

Groundwater confamination was identified on the APAC facility located northeast of the Key Road Landfill. APAC conducted investigation activities in an attempt to demonstrate that groundwater contamination on the APAC property was from an alternative source. The EPD accepted the demonstration and attributed contamination on the facility from an alternative source. Based on communication with the EPD, the City will be required to assess if contamination on the APAC facility is associated with the Key Road Landfill.

The JV proposes to conduct the following activities to evaluate if the Key Road Landfill is the source of groundwater contamination:

Conduct a records review of the APAC investigation and demonstration data.

- Install a shallow monitoring well in the southeastern portion of the landfill between monitoring well GWA-1 and piezometer PZA-1.
- Collect groundwater samples from piezometer PZA-1 and the newly installed monitoring well for VOC analyses during the first semiannual sampling event of 2005.
- Identify monitoring wells on the APAC facility to collect water level measurement in conjunction with first semiannual sampling event of 2005 to evaluate the groundwater flow direction between the Key Road Landfill and the APAC facility.

The analytical results of groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells GWA-1, GWB-1, PZA-1, and the newly installed well, along with the groundwater flow data, will be used to determine whether Key Road Landfill is the source of contamination on the APAC facility. A brief technical memorandum will present the findings of the investigation.

If the investigation indicates that Key Road Landfill is not the source of groundwater contamination on the APAC facility, the JV will recommend that no further action be required. If Key Road Landfill is identified as the source of contamination on the APAC facility, the EPD will require ACM activities to be conducted.

Assumptions:

- Until the activities associated with well GWB-4 and the APAC facility is conducted, the
 completion of an ACM with respect to this issue is premature. For this reason, costs
 associated with preparation of an ACM are not included in this proposal.
- Costs associated with the installation of additional wells in the vicinity of GWB-4 are also not included in this proposal.
- Drilling locations are accessible with a truck-mounted drill rig.
- Bedrock monitoring well will not exceed a depth of 80 feet.
- Shallow monitoring well will not exceed a depth of 45 feet.
- City will be responsible for disposing of solid and liquid waste associated with installing and sampling the borings and monitoring wells.
- JV will perform the utility 1-call.

Task 3b - Methane Gas Issues

The modifications described in the *Revised Methane Gas Remediation Plan* (Weston, 2002) were recommended to mitigate methane gas values exceeding the LEL at methane monitoring points MM-1, -2, -3, -9, -10, -11, -17, and -18. Since the 2002 plan was created, additional methane gas values have been collected and improvements to the system have been made. The JV will review the current landfill gas conditions as well as the improvements to the system to determine if the tasks recommended by Weston are

warranted or need to be revised. If necessary, the JV will revise the Weston plan to include text and figures describing any changes to the existing gas collection system and methane monitoring network. If building monitoring systems are required, these will be described conceptually as typical equipment types and will not be presented in drawings. Monitoring and operational procedure changes will also be described. Required pipe sizes and equipment capacities will be computed and compared to the existing pipe sizes and equipment capacities.

Assumptions:

- No more than six additional drawings or figures will be required.
- JV will review the following information, which will be provided by the EPD:
 - Permit and local regulatory requirements defining the content of the plan
 - As builts of the existing landfill gas collection system and landfill
 - Functional capabilities or specifications for the existing gas control systems (such as maximum flow rate capacity and horsepower)
 - Operational data from the past year.
- Costs to implement landfill gas remediation plans are not provided in this proposal, because the strategies for individual landfills have not been developed.

Task 4 - Cascade Road Landfill Gas System Repair

A portion of the piping associated with the landfill gas collection system is filled with water, preventing the flow of extracted landfill gas. The City believes the water has accumulated in the piping where landfill material has settled and caused a sag (low spot) in the line. The City has requested that the JV contract and provide oversight to repair the sag. The following tasks will be performed to repair the sag:

- Preparation of a complete description of the repair work to be done based on the City's
 as-built drawings and materials list and preparation of a subcontract agreement to repair
 the pipe. No additional drawings or specifications will be prepared.
- Excavation of existing cover materials and municipal solid waste (MSW).
- Identification of say within pipe.
- Placement of gravel material beneath and around existing pipe.
- Placement of geotextile over gravel.
- Backfill of trench with excavated waste material.
- Repair of cover system using site-specified clay material and vegetative soil.

Once the repair of the pipe is complete, a teclinical memorandum documenting the repair activities will be submitted to the City. The TM will include one design drawing showing

the completed as-built elevations of the repaired piping and photographs documenting the construction work.

Assumptions:

- As-built information provided by the City will be accurate and adequate to design the
 repair such that connections with and impacts on upstream and downstream parts of the
 gas control system can be evaluated.
- A subcontractor will be selected by the JV without competitive bids.
- All waste and other materials will be replaced into the excavation and the landfill cover will be replaced. This may result in a local mound on the landfill rover above the excavation.
- The repair will require four days of field time. A JV engineer will observe all work during the repair, on-site full time.
- Landfill gas system repair is limited to removing the sag. Repair of pipe damaged by landfill sottlement (broken, crimped, sheared, etc.) is not included.
- Odors from the excavation are expected to be limited and temporary and no odor
 control measures will be needed. Continuous explosive gas monitoring at the work site
 will be necessary and ventilation by fans or other means will be necessary to keep
 methane concentrations below flammability and explosive limits in the work area.

Schedule

Tasks 1 through 4 will be initiated within 7 days of receipt of the written notice to proceed from the City. DPT and monitoring well installation activities will be conducted prior to the initiation of the May 2005 semiannual sampling event.

Subcontracting and Outside Services

In the performance of the services outlined herein, the JV will use the following team of subcontractors, which provide specialty services:

SUBCONTRACTO	RS SERVICE	CONTRACT AMOUNT
akymawya yanamakama a fiyili		
Gulf Coast Analytica	Analytical Laboratory	\$ 10,406
Laboratories, Inc.		

The subcontractors listed above have supported the City Landfill Program since 2000. To ensure program continuity, the JV proposes to use the same subcontractors. These subcontractors are in addition to the JV pool of team subcontractors approved by the prime

contract. Acceptance of this proposal shall be deemed approval by the JV to contract with the specialty subcontractors as required.

Cost

This work will be performed under the terms and conditions of Architectural and Engineering Services Agreement between the City and the JV. The work will be performed using the per diem rates and expense markups established in this contract. A detailed breakdown of labor and expense costs is provided on Tables 1 (Response to EPD Consent Order – East Confederate Road Landfill), Table 2 (Cascade Road Groundwater Contamination and Methane Gas Issues), Table 3 (Key Road Groundwater Contamination and Methane Gas Issues), and Table 4 (Repair Cascade Road Landfill Gas Header Pipe). A simplified breakdown of costs by task is presented on the following table.

Task Description	Estimated Cost (S)
TASK 1: Respond to EPD Consent Order – East Confederate Road Landfill	
Task Ia Assessment of Corrective Measures	\$61,421
Task 1b – Methane Gas Issues	\$16,381
Subtotal Task 1	577.803
Task 2 – Cascade Road Landfill	
Task 2a - Assessment of Corrective Measures	\$56.852
Task 2b – Methane Gas Issues	\$55,936
Subtotal Task 2	\$112,838
Task 3 – Key Road Landfill	
Task 3a – Groundwater Contamination Issues	594,216
Task 3b – Methane Gas Issues	\$54,758
Task 3 Subtotal	\$148,974
Task 4 – Cascade Road Landfill Gas System Repair	\$64,524
TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (Tasks 1 through 4)	\$404,140

We look forward to working with the City on this project. If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at (770) 604-9182, extension 348, or David Beverly at extension 598.

Sincerely,

CH2MIHLL/WRJ - A JOINT VENTURE

Gerri Dickerson, P.E. Vice President

Fred Artis, WRJ, Inc. Deputy Program Manager

Attachment

c: Donna Owens, Deputy Commissioner, City of Atlanta David Beverly, CH2M HILL/WRJ JV

LABOR	5 8	TABLE 1 SUMMARY OF COST ESTIMATE . City of Atlanta A/E Services Contract - FC-7819-04C East Confederate Road Consent Order Response	STIMATE - nitaci - FC 7819-04C nit Order Response		
Labor Calepory	Labor Hate	Task 1 · East Cor Task 1a · Groundwater	Task 1 - East Confederate Road Landfill a - Groundwater 75sk 1b - Methane Gas	Total	Total
		/4CIV	elhane Monitoring Point Installati	Hours	Cost
Engineer 7	S174.74			C.V.	\$2 AG7
Encineer 8	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			l en) }
Engineer 5	S100.13			205	\$25,238
Engineer 4	\$83.45	**************************************		6	9
Engineer 3	\$71.79			6	58,847
OFFICE/Designer (80.05 0.05 0.05			2	99 19
Designer 4	282	0 (N		Ĉ.	\$2,23g
TOTALLABOR	Y G			i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	79 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
	2 4		***	415	
	The state of the s	066.45	8,502		\$43.402
2. INTERNAL EXPENSES					
Comias (Acath	Hate	# of Units	20 0 A	Cuesdar	₩ (
Car Travel (per day)	\$0.06	2800	200	6,C00	#360
Truck Travel (per day)	00000			O.	788 8
	3	2	***************************************		\$8 89 18
TOTAL INTERNAL EVDENCEO					
		61,070	0618		\$1.260
3. EXTERNAL EXPENSES					
Category	Rate				P
					Cost
	3				V.
Sufficient contraction	15 3	\$50C	2150		2000
Subcontracting: Laboratory	το Ο (28.05			\$3 D84
Foundation as	<u> </u>	520,520	\$7,020		\$27.540
Miscellangous		0001.5	05250		\$250 052\$
			800		ලාම
SUBTOTAL EXTERNAL EXPENSES	6.	825,451	V08/25		
			7.75	فتني والمتعادية والمتعاد والمتعادية والمتعادية والمتعادية والمتعادية والمتعادية والمتعاد	\$ 355,141
TASK TOTA		\$61,421	515,382		
					\$77.803

LABOR	ê ê	I ABLE 2 SUMMARY OF COST ESTIMATE. City of Atlanta A/E Services Contract - FC-7819-04C Cascade Road Groundwater and Methans Gas Issues	TIMATE - ract - FC-7819-04C lethans Gas Issues		
Lebor Category	Labor Rate	Task 2 - Casca Task 2a - Groundwater ACM	Task 2 - Cascade Road Landfill Sroundwater Task 2b - Methane Gas	Total	TO C
Engineer &	3174.74		Texa texture to the t	Hours	Cost
Engineer 7	\$150.14			8	\$1.183
Thomser &	5123			09.	
0 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ST 0018			C/4 C/4 C/4	\$27,454
Englineer 3	S43.45				\$ [
•	5 -			3 0	40,07.7 47.15
OFFICE/Designer 1 Designer 4	\$55.96 \$92.22	100 kg			\$3,917
TOTAL LABOR	Hours			ia So	\$ 2,453
		a c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	**************************************	735	
		076	S52,880		\$85,978
Z. INTERNAL EXPENSES Category	<u> </u>			TK TC	, i
Coples (each)	\$0.0%	# Of Units	# of Units	Quantity	ğ &
Car Traval (per day)	\$42.00	2	80a0	21,000	\$1,260
Truck Travel (ner day)	\$68.00				69 69
					୍ଦ ନଥିଲ
TOTAL INTERNAL EXPENSES		0.20		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
			3(38		\$2,708
					j
	Fale				
Meals/Lodging	Cost				2
Shipping/Postage	- T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T				G
Subconfracting: Laboratory	Jacon Cost	\$2,592			006\$
Sucontracting: Unling/DPT/Surv	Cost	\$17,820			\$2,582
selfdd gaeth ar a changaille s	C3.	81,000			\$17,820
	Cos	\$500	\$2,000		965 E
SUBTOTAL EXTERNAL EXPENSES	9		Total Transmission		3
		522,462	\$2,360		\$24.813
		12000000			
TASK TOTAL			9000		
					5112,838

Linkor Linkor Linkor Track 2a - GroTearthallori Issues Florida Track 2a - GroTearthallori Issues Florida Track 2a - GroTearthallori Issues Florida Florida Track 2a - GroTearthallori Issues Florida Flo		5 -	TABLE 3 SUMMARY OF COST ESTIMATE. City of Atlanta A/E Services Contract FC-7619-04C Key Road Groundwalps and Mathematical.	MATE - act - FC-7819-04C		
Labor Labor Task 3a - Groundvater Task 3b - Memane Gas I data Callegooy Labor Correctinentor issues Faview/Revise Plan Hours Engineer 6 \$170.74 \$ \$ \$ Engineer 5 \$100.13 \$ \$ \$ Engineer 5 \$100.01.3 \$ \$ \$ Engineer 5 \$17.78 \$ \$ \$ Collegency 5 \$1.80 \$ \$ \$ \$ Collegency 5 \$1.80 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Collegency 5 \$1.80			Task3 - Kev	Control of the Contro		
Engineer 8 \$174.74 6 6.3 6.8 8.11 Engineer 6 \$174.74 6 6.3 6.8 8.11 Engineer 6 \$150.14 2.68 6.3 6.8 8.11 Engineer 6 \$150.14 2.68 6.3 8.2 8.2 Engineer 6 \$150.24 2.2 6.3 8.2 8.2 Engineer 6 \$17.79 3 2 6.3 8.2 Engineer 7 \$150.04 4.3 2 6.3 8.2 Engineer 7 \$150.00 3 3 2 8.4 8.4 Engineer 6 \$1.00 \$1	Labor Calegory	Labor Rate	Task 3a - Groundwater Correntination issues	Task 3b - Methane Gas	ਕ ਫ	Š
Engineer Si10114 6 5-3 68 68 68 69 60 60 60 60 60 60 60				ewewnevise Pan	Hours	Cost
Engineer 6 512211 2688 150 160 257 150 2355 210014 2688 150 27 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Engineer 3	5174.74			o C	100
Engineer 5 5100.13 268 577 255 545 545 545 545 545 545 545 545 545	Engineer 6	4		09	0 C	2001-140 2001-140 2001-140
Engineer 4. \$17.79 150 2 150 511 150 5	Engineer 5	S100 14			3 23	770,476 440,614
Engineer 3 \$71778 130 2 150 \$1 CEJDeskgner 1 \$35,96 4.3 3.2 75 \$1 CEJDeskgner 4 \$32,22 63 30 75 \$1 CEJDeskgner 4 \$32,22 63 404 933 \$10	Engineer 4) (C) (C)			0	
CE/Designer I \$56.98 43 2 5 CE/Designer I \$56.98 43 32 75 5 ORSIgner 4 \$92.22 63 30 15C 715 5 ORSIgner 4 \$92.22 63 404 \$93 75 \$10 \$10 \$11 OR Hours \$22.24 \$404 \$6 \$10 \$11 \$10 \$11 Instruction \$22.05 \$22.25 \$830 \$22.00 \$27.000	Engineer 3	\$71.79	70.00		53 7	812.519
CheckbastCrief \$5.55.96					10	000 CE
CP	OFFICE Designer 1	\$55.96				
CF Hours 6229 4.044 933 \$ 54,541 \$ 54,541 \$ 50,282 933 L EXPENSES Bate # of Units # of Linits Outentity Callegory \$0.06 145.00 27 3 10 per day) \$42.00 7 3 27,000 27,000 floor day) \$68.00 16 3 27,000 27	d resigned to	\$92.22			ν τ. Τ	84,197
Figure F	TAL LABOR					\$14,833
EXPENSES \$52,292 \$100		SINGL	60000000000000	28	0.20	The state of the s
LEXPENSES LEXPENSES Rate # of Units Total Total A50.06 A50		A	54,521	\$52,282	2	0.00
Category Rate # of Units Total Total h) \$0.06 14500 \$2.200 \$1 per day) \$42.00 7 3 \$7,000 \$1 floar day) \$68.00 15 3 16 \$1 FINAL EXPENSES \$2.252 \$376 \$31 \$1 \$31 FINAL EXPENSES Rate \$2.252 \$3576 \$31 \$31 L EXPENSES Rate \$2.252 \$350 \$31,000 \$31,000 \$31,000 \$31,000 \$32,000 <td>VTERNAL EXPENSES</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>220°6214</td>	VTERNAL EXPENSES					220°6214
# of Units Octaming	Category	\$ 100 miles			Total	Lote
Por day)	iles (each)	90.08		# cf Units	Quantity	Cost
(per city)	Travel (per day)	\$42.00		2,200	27,000	\$1,620
FIVAL EXPENSES	ok Travel (per day)	\$68.00			2	\$420
PIVAL EXPENSES \$876 \$3 L EXPENSES \$876 \$3 L EXPENSES Rate \$3 Palegory Cost \$30 Vg Cost \$4,763 Vg Drilling/DPT/Surv Cost \$29,160 Vg Drilling/DPT/Surv Cost \$29,160 S \$20 \$1,000 S \$20 \$1,000 S \$25,000 \$1,000 S \$24,200 \$30 S \$24,200 \$30					9	\$1,088
L EXPENSES Rate Sale	AL INTERNAL EXPENSES					
L EXPENSES EXPENSES Dategory East Salegory East Cost \$4,763 Ingl. Laboratory Cost \$4,763 Ingl. Laboratory Cost \$229,160 \$7 Ingl. Laboratory Cost \$229,160 \$229 Septiming/DPT/Sulv Cost \$2,000 \$229 Samples \$2,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 XTERNAL EXPENSES \$37,423 \$1,600 \$399 \$994,216 \$554,758 \$359			NC NX	\$376		83,128
Salegory	KTERNAL EXPENSES					
19	Calegory	Fate				Total
### \$900 \$900 \$350 \$900 \$350 \$900 \$900 \$900 \$900 \$900 \$900 \$900 \$9	ls/Lodging	خ				Cost
## Publication	oing/Postage	Cast				S
19: Drilling/DPT/Su/v Cost \$29,150 upplies Cost \$2,000 \$1,000 XTERNAL EXPENSES \$37,423 \$1,600 \$594,216	contracting: Laboratory		50 pg	0.52		S1.250
### ### ### ##########################	contracting Drilling/DPT/Sur					84,763
\$ \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$20 \$1,000 \$20 \$1,600 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$	oment/Supplies					\$29,160
XTERNAL EXPENSES \$37,423 \$1,600 \$31.600 \$31	ellaneous	Š	00%			\$250
ATERNAL EXPENSES \$37,423 \$1,600 \$1,600 \$54,758						1600
\$94,216	CIAS DA IDENAL DATEN	2	\$37,423	\$1,600		430 000
						2020
	TOTAL		200 - 200 -	\$54,758	***************************************	

Engineer 8 S174.74 20 40 54.020 Engineer 8 S174.74 20 40 54.020 Engineer 8 S174.74 20 40 54.020 Engineer 9 S123.11 4.0 4.0 54.020 Engineer 9 S123.11 5.0 5.0 Engineer 9 S143.200 5.0 Engineer 9	1.LABOR	Summ. City of Atlanta / Cascade Roa	Sundakry OF COST ESTINATE. City of Atlanta AE Services Contract - FC-7619-04C Cascade Road Lendfill Gas Header Pipe Repair		
Enginear B S174.74 21 \$5 Enginear B S174.74 20 40 \$4 Enginear B S123.11 40 40 \$4 Enginear B S123.12 40 \$4 \$4 Enginear B S123.13 40 \$4 \$4 Enginear B S123.14 \$5 20 40 \$4 Enginear B S123.16 \$5 25 25 \$5 Enginear B S122.22 25 25 \$5 \$5 \$5 SOR Hours 134 \$1 \$5 <t< th=""><th>Labor</th><th>Labor</th><th>Task 4 - Cescade Road Landfill Landfill Gas Header Pipe Repair</th><th>Total</th><th>1012</th></t<>	Labor	Labor	Task 4 - Cescade Road Landfill Landfill Gas Header Pipe Repair	Total	1012
Engineer 7 S150-14 20 21 21 20	Evapues 8	\$174.74			\$ 3
Engineer 8 S123.11 4.0 40 Engineer 8 S123.11 4.0 40 Engineer 8 S100.13 4.0 40 Engineer 3 \$171.79 5.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Engineer 7	\$150.14		X	63,670
Engineer 5 S190:13 40 40 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	Engineers	5123.1			
Enginear 4 \$503.46 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Englinear 5	\$100.13		→ (7. 7. 7. 7.
Engineer 3 \$71.79 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Enginear 4	\$83.46) 1	X
Consignant 4	Enginear 3	\$71.79			09 C
Dosigner 4 \$32.22 13 13 14 \$3.50 \$5		(D 700
SOR Hours 25 25 88 SOR Hours 134 144 818 AL EXPENSES Rate # or Units Charactery \$0.00 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	o receive	00 (00 (00 (00 (00 (00 (00 (00 (00 (00		(6)	6
SOR Hours 154 144) 226	S	(S)	\$2,306
# 18,994 144 154 1	TOTALLABOR	Hours			
# of Units Outantity Catagory Fath Catagory Fath \$0.006 B \$0.000 B \$0.0		**	**************************************	1	6 C 2 C
th) 27.7 Hatte # of Units Outantity \$0.06	2. INTERNAL EXPENSES Carannu			70.al	
Per day	Copies (each)	H216	# of Units	Quantity	C
(Det day)	Car Travel (per dav)	\$ 50 CF	0609	3,000	\$360
### ### #### #### #### ##### #########	Truck Travel (per riav)	00 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000		•	(0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0)
## EXPENSES \$1,030 AL EXPENSES AL EXPENSES Catagory Catagory Cost Mai: Plipe Repair Cost Mipplies Cost Mipplies Cost Mipplies Cost ##3,000 ##43,200 ##43,200 ##43,200 ##43,200 ##43,200 ##43,200 ##43,200 ##43,200 ##43,200 ##43,200 ##43,200 ##43,200 ##43,200 ##43,200 ##43,200 ##43,200 ##44,300		3		**	\$5 4
AL EXPENSES Catagory Rate Ng Stage Cost Stage Cost Ng Laboratory Cost Ng Hagizon Cost Stage Cost Stage Cost Stagizon	OTAL INTERNAL EXPENSES		81080	Andreas de la Contraction de l	
Catagory Rate Ing Cost \$300 Stage Cost \$300 Ing Laboratory Cost \$43,200 Ing Pipa Repeir Cost \$43,200 Ing Pipa Repeir Cost \$43,200 Ing Cost \$1,000 Ing Cost \$1,000 Ing Pipa Repeir Cost	EXTERNAL EXPENSES				() () () ()
Ng Stage Stage Mg: Laboratory Cost Mg: Laboratory Cost Mpplies Cost Stage Stag	Category	D 24 4			Total
ng Cost \$3 stage Cost \$43,200 \$43,200 mg. Pipe Repair Cost \$43,200 \$43,5 upplies Cost \$1,000 \$44,5 sxTERNAL EXPENSES \$44,500 \$44,5		Ď			Cost
stage \$300 fig. Laboratory Cost fig. Pipe Repair Cost Mpplies \$43,200 Ja. Cost Ja. \$1,000 Ja. \$44,300 SXTERNAL EXPENSES \$44,50 \$44,50 \$44,5	//eals/Lodging	Som			
ing: Laboratory Cost \$43,200 ing: Pipa Repeir Cost \$43,200 ing Pipa Repeir Cost \$43,200 ing Pipa Repeir Cost \$43,200 ing Pipa Repeir Cost \$44,300 ing Pipa Repeir Cost \$44,300 ing Pipa Repeir Cost \$44,300	sh pping/Postage	Š			S
ing: Pipe Repair Cost \$43,200 Js Cost \$1,000 Js Cost \$1,000 \$43,500 \$44,500	Mocchiffaciling; Laboratory	88			\$3C
Mppiles Cost \$1,000 STERMAL EXPENSES \$44,500 \$44.5	Arboontracting: Pipe Repeir	ts C			8
SXTERMAL EXPENSES & \$44,500 \$44.5	cuipment/Supplies	3			848,200
XTERNAL EXPENSES \$44.50 \$44.50 \$64,524	fisce laneous	8			S
\$64,524	JBTOTAL EXTERNAL EXPENS	j j			>
			\$44,500		\$44,503
			· 多见 医多量		
	SK TOTAL				į į

TRANSMITTAL FORM FOR LEGISLATION

To: Greg Pridgeon, Ma	ayor's Office		
From: Department of Pul	olic Works		
Commissioner's Signature		Contact (Name): <u>Jame</u> Director's Signature: /	Strang V. C
Committee(s) of Purview:	City Utilities Committe	e Committee Deadline:	**************************************
Committee Meeting Date(s):	City Council Meeting	Date: <u>April 18, 2005</u>
CAPTION:			
A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZ JV FOR FC-7619-03C, ANNU PERFORM GROUNDWATER OTHER PROFESSIONAL CO LANDFILLS AND AT VARIOU IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EX THIRTY-FIVE DOLLARS (\$76 FROM FUND ACCOUNT AN M38201.	R SAMPLING, TESTING, A ONSULTATION AND ENG IS LOCATIONS ON BEH, CCEED SEVEN HUNDRE	HITECTURAL AND ENGIN MALYTICAL SERVICES, R BINEERING SERVICES AT ALF OF THE DEPARTMEN D SIXTY-THREE THOUSA	EERING SERVICES TO REPORT WRITING AND THE CITY'S CLOSED NT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND EIGHT HUNDRED
BACKGROUND/PURPO	SE/DISCUSSION:		
The City has closed all of i period of not less than thirty and operation of systems defacilities are located. In ordare being recommended to be	signed to protect the healer to meet the closure a	closure care includes mon the and safety of the commend post closure requirement	nitoring, maintenance
FINANCIAL IMPACT (if	any):		
This resolution will authoriz contract and will not require	the use of the existing additional funding.	annual Architectural and	l Engineering
Mayor's Staff Only		M	0
Received by Mayor's Office Submitted to Council	3.28.000	Reviewed by:	
Submitted to Council		(Initials)	(Date)
	(Date)		
Action by Committee:	Approved Advers	sed Held A	mended
	Substitute Referr	ed Other	